UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,651	06/27/2003	Mark R. Albrecht	171006-0001U3	7032
	7590 09/16/200 MCKENNA, LLP		EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE		FRENEL, VANEL	
BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/608,651	ALBRECHT, MARK R.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	VANEL FRENEL	3687		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (ate, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12,31,32 and 34-48 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12, 31-32, 34-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet and according to the Replacement drawing sheet according to the Replacement drawing sheet and according to the Replacement drawing sheet accor	ccepted or b) objected to se drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/608,651 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/30/08 has been entered.

Notice to Applicant

2. This communication is in response to the RCE filed on 6/30/08 Claims 13-30 and 33 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 31 and 32 have been amended. Claims 34-48 have been newly added. Claims 1-12, 31-32 and 34-48 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

 Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 34-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 34-40 recite a process comprising the steps of: prioritizing, retaining, providing, monitoring, annotating, completing. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a proper process must be tied to another statutory class or transform underlying subject

matter to a different state or thing (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker* v. *Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane* v. *Deener*, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876)). Since neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not considered a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101. To qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplished the method steps or positively reciting the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-12, 31-32 and 34-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker (6,473,741) in view of Carver (2004/0078307).

As per claims 1-12, 31-32 and 34-48, Baker discloses for plural respective direct services providers, loading, onto a remotely accessible part of a common host server located in a given country, client specific financial files and corresponding reference materials, the host server providing access to a remote computer client of information concerning the client specific financial files and corresponding reference materials (See

Baker, Col.10, lines 56-67 to Col.11, line 20); and monitoring and reporting the status of the tax return preparation and of the other financial service projects (See Baker, Col.12, lines 41-67).

Baker does not explicitly disclose a financial services outsourcing method for facilitating a direct service provider's management of human resources for providing financial services to numerous clients, the financial services being outsourced to an outsourcing group abroad, the method comprising: prioritizing tax returns for outsourcing; retaining outsourced personnel to perform tax return preparation services for clients of the direct service providers during a tax season in a given year and to perform other financial service projects for the clients of the direct service providers during a different season of the given year, the outsourced personnel preparing the tax returns and performing the other financial service projects while in an outsource country different from the given country; providing the outsourced personnel limited access to the server; the outsourced personnel performing the tax return preparation services during the tax season in the given year and performing the financial service projects during a different season of the given year through a remote limited access client located in the outsource country.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Carver. In particular, Carver suggests a financial services outsourcing method for facilitating a direct service provider's management of human resources for providing financial services to numerous clients, the financial services being outsourced to an outsourcing group abroad, the method comprising: prioritizing tax returns for outsourcing (See

Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027); retaining outsourced personnel to perform tax return preparation services for clients of the direct service providers during a tax season in a given year and to perform other financial service projects for the clients of the direct service providers during a different season of the given year, the outsourced personnel preparing the tax returns and performing the other financial service projects while in an outsource country different from the given country (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027); providing the outsourced personnel limited access to the server (See Carver, Page2, Paragraph 0016; Page 4, Paragraph 0027); the outsourced personnel performing the tax return preparation services during the tax season in the given year and performing the financial service projects during a different season of the given year through a remote limited access client located in the outsource country (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the features of Carver within the system of Baker with the motivation of guarantying security of all identifying data and characteristics is provided, and a user may utilize fictional euphemisms or other coded information as desired. Password protection is required of all users and accounts (See Carver, Page 1, Paragraph 0010).

As per claim 2, Baker discloses the method wherein the tax return preparation services comprise individual tax return preparation services (See Baker, Col.10, lines 56-67 to Col.11, line 2).

As per claim 3, Carver discloses the method wherein the tax returns and other financial services are outsourced through an intermediary firm to the outsourced personnel (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

As per claim 4, Carver discloses the method wherein the other financial service projects comprise bookkeeping projects (See Carver, Page 3, Paragraph 0020).

As per claim 5, Carver discloses the method further comprising the intermediary service firm interacting with the outsourced personnel through use of a servicing computer system, and interacting with the plural direct service providers through use of the servicing computer system (See Craver, Page 4, Paragraph 0025).

As per claim 6, Carver discloses the method wherein the direct service providers comprise accounting firms (See Craver, Page 4, Paragraphs 0025-0026).

As per claim 7, Carver discloses the method wherein the host server provides limited screen shot access to the remote client computer of information concerning the client specific financial files and corresponding reference materials (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0026).

As per claim 8, Carver discloses the method wherein the host server comprises a CITRIX server (See Carver, Page 2, Paragraph 0016).

Page 7

As per claim 9, Carver discloses the method wherein the host server provides limited screen shot access to the remote client computer of information concerning the client specific financial files and corresponding reference materials (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0026).

As per claim 10, Carver discloses the method according to claim 9, wherein the host server comprises a CITRIX server (See Craver, Page 2, Paragraph 0016).

As per claim 11, Carver discloses the method wherein the host server comprises an ASP server running tax software for use by plural independent direct service providers provided with access to the ASP server (See Carver, Page 2, Paragraphs 0016-0017).

As per claim 12, Carver discloses the method wherein the host server comprises an ASP server running tax software for use by plural independent direct service providers provided with access to the ASP server (See Carver, Page 2, Paragraphs 0016-0017).

As per the newly added claim 35, Carver discloses the method further comprising: interviewing one or more tax filers (See Carver, Page 5, Paragraph 0030); and obtaining information from the one or more tax filers (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraphs 0027-0028).

As per the newly claim 36, Carver discloses the method wherein the information further comprises: prior year return information (See Carver, Page 1, Paragraph 0006); and supporting documentation of the prior year return information (See Carver, Page 1, Paragraph 0006).

As per the newly claim 37, Carver discloses the method further comprising: assigning, to an individual preparer of the outsourced personnel, one or more tax returns of the tax returns prioritized for outsourcing (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027); annotating, by the individual preparer, each of the one or more tax returns assigned to the individual preparer (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraphs 0025- 0026); and completing, by the individual preparer, each of the one or more tax returns assigned to the individual preparer (See Carver, Page 5, Paragraph 0030).

As per the newly added claim 38, Carver discloses the method further comprising: assigning, at a first local time, the tax returns prioritized for outsourcing, the first local time corresponding to an evening time in the United States (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027); and completing, before a second local time, the tax returns

prioritized for outsourcing, the second local time corresponding to the first morning time in the United States after the evening time in the United States (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

As per the newly added claim 39, Carver discloses the method further comprising: reviewing, by a tax department or by a project manager, the completed tax returns prioritized for outsourcing (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

As per the newly added claim 40, Carver discloses the method further comprising: generating a list of questions, the list of questions comprising missing information, the missing information required to complete a tax return of the tax returns prioritized for outsourcing (See Carver, Page 5, Paragraphs 0033-0034).

As per the newly added claim 42, Carver discloses the method wherein the contacting of the tax filer is outsourced (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

As per the newly added claim 43, Carver discloses the method wherein the transmitting of the missing information to the tax return preparer occurs by a computer network (See Carver, Page 2, Paragraph 0016).

As per the newly added claim 44, Carver discloses the method wherein the outsourcing of the tax return is determined by prioritizing of rates and margins (See

Carver, Page 2, Paragraph 0017; Page 4, Paragraph 0026).

As per the newly added claim 45, Carver discloses the method further comprising outsourcing of bookkeeping of the information concerning the tax filer (See Carver, Page 3, Paragraph 0020).

As per the newly added claim 46, Carver discloses the method further comprising monitoring work time of the tax preparer (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

As per the newly added claim 47, Carver discloses the method of claim 41 further comprising instructing the tax preparer to perform other tasks, the other tasks not related to preparing the tax return (See Carver, Page 4, Paragraph 0027).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not the applied art teaches system and method for remotely entering and verifying data capture (2003/0140306).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VANEL FRENEL whose telephone number is (571)272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,651 Page 11

Art Unit: 3687

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vanel Frenel/

Examiner, Art Unit 3687

September, 13, 2008